

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/755,982	Applicant(s) SAFFARI ET AL.	
		Examiner ARTHUR O. HALL	Art Unit 3718	

All Participants:

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL.

(2) Nish Patel.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2011

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Arthur O Hall/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3718

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and applicants discussed claim amendments that would remove the duplication of the term "for the primary game/firstgame" in claims 1 and 10, that would recite in claims 1 that the gaming unit controller subtracts and adds the progressive jackpot wager amount, that would recite an amount of a player's wager in claims 1, 10 and 33, and that would recite in claim 33 operable to instead of capable of..